Pushing the Limits: Resilience Testing for Mission-Critical Machine-Type Communication

Christian Arendt, Manuel Patchou, Stefan Böcker, Janis Tiemann, Christian Wietfeld

TU Dortmund University, Germany

Communication Networks Institute (CNI)

Email: {christian.arendt, manuel.mbankeu, stefan.boecker, janis.tiemann, christian.wietfeld}@tu-dortmund.de

Abstract—Interdisciplinary application fields, such as automotive, industrial applications or field robotics show an increasing need for reliable and resilient wireless communication even under high load conditions. These mission-critical applications require dependable service quality characteristics in terms of latency and especially stability. Current deployments often use either wired links that lack the flexibility to accommodate them, or wireless technologies that are susceptible to interference. Depending on the application and the surrounding environments, different technologies can meet the associated requirements and have to be tested deliberately to prevent unexpected system failure.

To stress test these infrastructures in a reproducible and application-aware manner, we propose STING, a spatially distributed traffic and interference generation framework. STING is evaluated in a remote control test case of an Unmanned Ground Vehicle that serves as a scout in Search and Rescue missions. A significant impact of interference on the remote control quality of experience is shown in tests with different operators, which result in an 80% increase in completion time in our test scenario with high interference on the radio channel. With this case study, we have proven STING to be a reliable and reproducible way to asses resilience against interference of wireless machine-type communication use cases. Our concept can find use for any type of wireless technology, in unlicensed (e.g. Wi-Fi) as well as licensed bands (e.g. 5G).

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless connectivity is becoming a more and more crucial aspect of various applications in the industrial domain as well as search and rescue applications. Especially in the field of robotics and (semi-)autonomous systems, the network performance needed to communicate sensor and control data near real time has to be reliably available independent from the surrounding environment in order to enable mission-critical applications and pose a real benefit for vehicle operators and industries alike. Opposed to the ongoing rollout of the 5th Generation of mobile networks (5G), which is said to fulfill many of the needs of today's Machine-Type Communication (MTC) applications, current implementations often rely on Wi-Fi for its ease of use and cost-efficient deployment. However, especially in the aforementioned domains, reliability and guaranteed service quality are critical, and while every wireless communication is in principle prone to interference by other (known or unknown) transmissions in the same frequency range, this is especially true for those in unlicensed frequency bands like Wi-Fi. Future developments incorporate application-aware connectivity solutions and even Multiple Radio Access Technology (Multi-RAT) approaches [1], which



Fig. 1. Spatially Distributed Traffic and Interference Generation (STING): Cross-domain application of STING concept for mission-critical machinetype communication resilience testing

will have to prove their suitability for specific mission-critical MTC applications in high load scenarios. Therefore, deliberate resilience testing and evaluation depending on the targeted application is necessary to avoid malfunctioning systems in advance. This evaluation is often carried out through simulations, which naturally do not take every aspect of a deployment situation into account. This work therefore proposes Spatially Distributed Traffic and Interference Generation (STING), a modular framework for stress testing network infrastructures with distributed devices as shown in Fig. 1, generating configurable traffic patterns which can emulate real-world applications. STING consists of a central management entity and distributed devices, which can emulate and generate various real-world traffic patterns to enable different kinds of stress test scenarios.

The present work is structured as follows: Section II gives an overview of related and previous works. Section III describes the proposed system architecture and its capabilities for stress testing network infrastructures. Section IV shows a case study of a proposed rescue robotics test case scenario in which the influence of Wi-Fi interference on the operability of a teleoperating robotic system is analyzed. Results of this analysis are shown in section V-A. Section VI finally concludes this work.

II. RELATED WORK

Mission-critical MTC has been a major driver for development of 5G technologies for Ultra-Reliable Low Latency Communication (URLLC) service classes, as its high requirements pose a hard challenge for wireless deployments [2]. The evolution of mission-critical MTC towards 6G has been addressed in [1], which discusses future use cases as well as solutions to meet the ever-growing demands of such applications. We propose the STING framework as a measure to reliably test these upcoming infrastructures for resilience even in high load scenarios.

The concept of this work is based on [3]. The authors implemented a distributed traffic generation system for stress-testing networks at locations of smart meters and evaluated the system utilizing LTE. The authors of [4] used a similar approach to assess the performance of schools IEEE 802.11n based Wi-Fi networks prior to the distribution of laptops to students. They also used iPerf as a tool for performance measurements; however, the emulated devices are strictly colocated as the emulation occurs in a central unit. A generalized approach to interference testing is discussed in [5]. The authors focus on reproducible tests in a controlled environment using single Devices under Test (DUTs), whereas this work focuses on application-oriented test environments.

Performance Testing of robot systems with regard to communication has been addressed in [6] following a hardware-in-the-simulation-loop approach. Apart from the abstraction natural to a simulation, the work focused on network coverage, where interference was not taken into account.

The importance of network communications within robot missions has been shown in works such as [7] where unmanned aircraft systems were used beyond Line of Sight (LOS) to carry out search and rescue missions while relying on a robust and long-range suitable multi-link, which resulted from the aggregation of a self-deployed Long Term Evolution (LTE) cell and the services of two mobile network operators. A compilation of robotic application examples having a critical reliance on network communications is given [8] with the focus set on search and rescue scenarios featuring the collaborative multi-robot teams. The various challenges and constraints that different robot types (e.g. ground, aerial, surface, or underwater) encounter in different environments (e.g. maritime or post-disaster scenario) are also discussed. This stresses the relevance of the testing and certification processes of these robotic systems.

In [9], the system level testing of autonomous vehicles is addressed to cover the system behavior in complex traffic scenarios, which may be left unaccounted for by componentlevel testing. This stresses the needs for application-aware testing based on real-world scenarios in addition to isolated tests of specific components. Critical operations such as emergency responses and rescue missions require that the deployed robots possess certain sets of capabilities, thus stressing the importance of standards. To address this, NIST researchers are collaborating with others to establish a collection of test suites under the standards development organization ASTM International which can be used to challenge specific robot capabilities in repeatable ways in order to facilitate direct comparison of different robots as well as particular configurations of similar robot models [10]. While these various test methods for different aspects and capabilities of robotic systems exist, to our knowledge there are no standardized test methods specific to communication performance under high load situations.

III. SPATIALLY DISTRIBUTED TRAFFIC AND INTERFERENCE GENERATION: ARCHITECTURE

The STING system is composed of two main parts as depicted in Fig. 2, a central management and control system and the distributed end devices used for traffic generation, which will be briefly described in the following sections. These entities can be used with different and multiple network infrastructures.



Fig. 2. Overview of STING system architecture

A. Management and Control Server and backend

The system's central server acts as a counterpart to the end devices for traffic generation. The system is configured using a web interface on the central server in which traffic parameters are defined. The configurations are then published to a topic on an Message Queuing Telemetry Transport (MQTT) broker running on the server to which the end devices are subscribed (cf. Fig. 2). Performance metrics about the transmissions are then published back to the server and persisted in a database for further analysis. With this, local or global shortcomings of a network infrastructure can be determined and fixed before an actual application is deployed.

B. STING End Devices

The end devices are based on an embedded PC specialized in network applications, allowing the usage of various network adapters in the form of M.2 module cards. In the case study discussed later in this work, an Intel AX200 Wi-Fi 6 module is used [11]. The devices can be deployed in a distributed test scenario, e.g. a manufacturing hall, and emulate traffic occurrence of one or multiple target applications. Performance metrics such as throughput, latency, or round trip time of the established traffic flow are stored locally so that the results transmissions do not distort the tests. After a test scenario is finished, results are published to the central server using MQTT to be persisted.

C. Traffic Generation Concept

The STING platform can be used to generate various heterogeneous network traffic constellations. This enables reproducible stress-tests based on constant streaming interference as discussed later in section IV as well as scalability tests based on the emulation of real application traffic of the System Under Test (SUT). Therefore one end device can emulate multiple applications and traffic patterns, as depicted in Fig. 3. Applications are emulated in separate processes. Therefore,



Fig. 3. Traffic Generation concept based on aggregation of application traffic demands

regular small data packets such as sensor data and bursty file transmissions are generated in a per-packet way following an underlying pattern or probability distribution function. In contrast, constant streaming applications like video data can be emulated via the open-source traffic generator iPerf [12]. These application types are aggregated and sent from the end devices to the management and control system or vice versa, resulting in either uplink or downlink traffic depending on the application. This approach enables analysis of various application types and requirements with different demands regarding latency and reliability, leading to an emulation of mixed-criticality applications [1].

IV. CASE STUDY: ROBOTIC TEST CASE

An implementation of the STING system is used to build an interference stress-test scenario for teleoperated rescue robotic systems. Robotic systems promise a high value for search and rescue applications in hazardous environments. However, this can only be achieved with a reliable wireless communication link. Due to their cost-effective and easy-touse deployment, Wi-Fi systems are an attractive solution for this type of application. However, in order to validate the performance of these systems beforehand, stress-test methods are crucial. Standard test methods as provided for example by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), only cover test methods for 1-to-1 LOS [13] and Non Line of Sight (NLOS) [14] scenarios. Therefore, we propose a test case based on the STING system.

A. Test Case Scenario

The test field used for this purpose is shown in Fig. 4. It represents a meandering course for the robot, which is bounded by hockey board elements.



Fig. 4. STING implementation for robotic test case study. a) Schematic overview of the robotic parcours. b) Real implementation. c) STING Management & Control Unit

Each of the board elements is 2 m long and staggered at intervals of 2 m. In this experiment, they only serve to delimit the course for the robot and should not have any attenuation characteristics. Wood or plastic is therefore recommended as the material. Eight STING terminal devices are positioned in a grid with 4 m spacing at the edge of the test field. These are mounted on tripods at the height of 1 m. The antennas are aligned in such a way that there is a line of sight to all other terminals. The Management control station of the STING system, as well as the operator, can be arranged arbitrarily. Since the robotic system is operated from a distance, it is recommended that the operator is protected from view, for example, by a mobile partition. In Fig. 4, the operator is positioned at the right end of the course.

B. Robot Under Test

The system under test, which is visible in Fig. 5 is our Xplorer robot, a mid-sized Unmanned Ground Vehicle (UGV)

based on the Clearpath Husky platform, equipped with various payloads for search and rescue missions and operated using Robot Operating System (ROS).



Fig. 5. The Xplorer robot platform used as SUT in the conducted test case. The robot is equipped with payloads allowing teleoperation.

The most noticeable of these payloads is the towering surround camera system, consisting of six wide-angle cameras, producing the same number of individual streams, which are then processed into one 360° perspective of the robot's surroundings. This perspective allows the rescuers to effectively survey the environment independently of the robot's current orientation.

A perspective more suitable for the remote control of the robot is provided by a dedicated First Person View (FPV) camera mounted at the robot's front and publishes a stream of Motion JPEG (MJPEG) compressed video frames via ROS topics.

Other sensors are available on the Xplorer, but only the two aforementioned were solicited in the test case in order to keep it succinct. The FPV camera stream provides the necessary feedback for teleoperation while the traffic generated by the 360° stream allows assessing the available network datarate

Regarding network communications, the Xplorer is equipped with a SKATES module [15] which provides robust, interoperable multi-connectivity by distributing its communication links over multiple radio access technologies. In the context of this test case, however, the SKATES module was configured to rely on Wi-Fi only.

C. Test Procedure

The Wi-Fi systems used for communication between the robot and the operator, as well as for the STING system, are set to the same 20 MHz channel. A channel should be selected that is not occupied by other Wi-Fi networks not belonging to the system. In the experiment, the robotic system is controlled several times through the course shown in Fig. 4 using teleoperation. All control and user data are transmitted. In the case of the example with the TU Dortmund robotic

system, these are both the FPV and the immersive 360° view, both of which can be used to control the system. The operator should not have a direct view of the system during the experiment. The STING interference communication is always switched on directly before the start of the run and then switched off again to set the configuration for the next run. The general configuration of the STING terminal devices is summarized in Table I.

Parameter	Value set	
Wi-Fi Standard SUT	.11ac	
Wi-Fi Standard STING	.11ac	
Active STING end devices	[0,2,4,6,8]	
Communication Direction	Uplink	
Bandwidth (both systems)	20 MHz	
Frequency band (both systems)	5 GHz	
Wi-Fi Channel (both systems)	44	
SUT Offered Traffic	40 Mbit/s (FPV+360°)	
Access Point (STING)	Cisco Catalyst 9130	
Access Point (SUT)	Ubiquiti UniFi AC Mesh Pro	
STING Protocol	UDP	
STING offered traffic per device	300 Mbit/s	

TABLE IParameter set for case study

During execution, the time required by the operator to complete the parcours is measured. The operator runs the course several times with the configurations depicted in table II:

ID	Repetitions	Configuration
1	1	Introductory run; not tracked and no interference
2	2	no active interference; serves as reference for evaluation
3	2	2 active STING devices
4	2	4 active STING devices
5	2	6 active STING devices
6	2	8 active STING devices

TABLE II Performed test procedure per operator

V. TEST CASE EVALUATION

A. Influence of Interference on the robots communication link

The following results show throughput and latency of the robot system, as well as the dropped video frames of the FPV camera used for remote control, in a static test configuration as depicted in Fig. 6.



Fig. 6. Constellation for interference stresstest

For this functional test, the SUT remained in the middle of the scenario in order to evaluate the influence of interference on the communication of the robotic systems' camera frames. As in the test procedure described in section IV-C, the number of active STING devices was incremented in steps of two, and each configuration was active for one minute.



Fig. 7. Exemplary influence of STING on throughput, latency and frame drops of the FPV Camera for different number of STING devices

Starting with the throughput in Fig. 7, it can be seen that higher channel congestion with an increasing number of active STING devices results in an overall decrease in throughput stability of the robot system. While a smaller number of active STINGs can be compensated by the camera systems catching up, six and eight active STING devices result in a significant decrease of network throughput. The round trip time is also significantly increased to around 100 ms and peaks up to 200 ms which, together with an increased number of frame drops of the FPV camera system, have a significant impact on the teleoperation of the robot system, as is evident in the next section.

B. Influence of Interference on Remote Control Quality of Experience

The test procedure described in section IV-C has been conducted with four operators from our institute with different levels of experience. These result in different completion times between 43 s and 73 s, even without active interference. Fig. 7 shows the completion times of every operator per run and interference constellation, as well as the distribution of completion durations of all operators per number of active STING devices.



Fig. 8. Distribution of various operators completion time under varying STING influence

Results of sample executions of the test case show a strong influence of communication performance on the operability of the robot system. One run with two active STING devices failed due to a collision with the hockey boards. From the absolute completion times of the completed runs, it is evident that all operators, while having different capabilities, need more time to finish the parcours with active interference; thus, operator experience can not fully compensate the reduced operability. The distribution of completion times shows that a higher number of active interferers results in a significant increase of up to 80% from 55 s to 100 s median completion time with eight active STING devices compared to no interference.

The reduced completion time is mainly caused by the lack of constant camera frames, which are needed by the operator to maneuver the robot safely. With a higher interference on the wireless channel, camera frames are dropped or have a significant delay. This proves that testing the robot systems' resilience to interference is a crucial aspect when testing before real search and rescue missions. Countermeasures against interference on a channel or frequency band can be an intelligent channel switching scheme or, more reliably, incorporating an additional network interface operating in another frequency band. Such a multi-link approach is proposed and discussed in [6] and [15].

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, we propose STING, a framework for application-aware network stress-testing. The framework is built in a modular way, enabling a variety of test methods to be applied. We showed the relevance of such a framework on a case study in the form of a rescue robotics test case. The test case consists of a parcours through which a robot has to be navigated in a teleoperation configuration without a direct line of sight of the operator. The parcours is performed multiple times with increasing interference on the used wireless channel, leading to higher latency and reduced throughput of the robot's communication link. Tests with four operators with different levels of experience showed an increased median of the completion time of about 80%, proving the necessity of a stress-testing system for critical application scenarios. In future works, we want to use the system to address the coexistence of different Wi-Fi Standards, especially the impact of the current Wi-Fi 6 standard for industrial and robotic applications. The modular approach of the frameworks will also allow the integration of 5G modems into the STING devices, enabling stress-testing of 5G campus networks in industrial applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Part of the work on this paper has been supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in the project A-DRZ (13N14857) as well as the Ministry of Economic Affairs, Innovation, Digitalization and Energy of the State of North Rhine-Westphalia (MWIDE NRW) along with the *Competence Center 5G.NRW* under grant number 005-01903-0047 and *5Guarantee* under grant number 005-2008-0046 and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) within the Collaborative Research Center SFB 876 "Providing Information by Resource-Constrained Analysis", project A4.

REFERENCES

 N. H. Mahmood, S. Böcker, A. Munari, F. Clazzer, I. Moerman, K. Mikhaylov, O. Lopez, O.-S. Park, E. Mercier, H. Bartz, R. Jüntti, R. Pragada, Y. Ma, E. Annanperä, C. Wietfeld, M. Andraud, G. Liva, Y. Chen, E. Garro, F. Burkhardt, H. Alves, C.-F. Liu, Y. Sadi, J.-B. Dore, E. Kim, J. Shin, G.-Y. Park, S.-K. Kim, C. Yoon, K. Anwar, and P. Seppänen, "White paper on critical and massive machine type communication towards 6G," *6G Research Visions, University of Oulu*, Apr 2020. [Online]. Available: http://jultika.oulu.fi/files/isbn9789526226781.pdf

- [2] N. A. Mohammed, A. M. Mansoor, and R. B. Ahmad, "Mission-Critical Machine-Type Communication: An Overview and Perspectives Towards 5G," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 127 198–127 216, 2019.
- [3] D. Kaulbars, F. Schweikowski, and C. Wietfeld, "Spatially Distributed Traffic Generation for Stress Testing the Robustness of Mission-Critical Smart Grid Communication," in 2015 IEEE Globecom Workshops (GC Wkshps), Dec. 2015, pp. 1–6.
 [4] G. Alvarez, M. Alvarez, F. Bagalciague, G. Capdehourat, J. Gonzalez,
- [4] G. Alvarez, M. Alvarez, F. Bagalciague, G. Capdehourat, J. Gonzalez, J. Marfia, P. Porteiro, and A. Rodriguez, "Multi-client emulation platform for performance testing of high density 802.11 networks," in 2016 Wireless Days (WD). Toulouse: IEEE, Mar. 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [5] G. H. Koepke, W. F. Young, J. M. Ladbury, and J. B. Coder, "Interference and Coexistence of Wireless Systems in Critical Infrastructure," National Institute of Standards and Technology, Tech. Rep. NIST TN 1885, Jul. 2015.
- [6] M. Patchou, C. Arendt, P. Gorczak, J. Güldenring, J. Tiemann, and C. Wietfeld, "Hardware in the Simulation Loop Framework For Reproducible Testing of Rescue Robot Communications in Constrained Environments," in 2020 IEEE International Symposium on Safety, Security, and Rescue Robotics (SSRR), Nov. 2020, pp. 348–353.
- [7] J. Güldenring, P. Gorczak, F. Eckermann, M. Patchou, J. Tiemann, F. Kurtz, and C. Wietfeld, "Reliable long-range multi-link communication for unmanned search and rescue aircraft systems in beyond visual line of sight operation," *Drones*, vol. 4, no. 2, may 2020.
- [8] J. P. Queralta, J. Taipalmaa, B. Can Pullinen, V. K. Sarker, T. Nguyen Gia, H. Tenhunen, M. Gabbouj, J. Raitoharju, and T. Westerlund, "Collaborative multi-robot search and rescue: Planning, coordination, perception, and active vision," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 191617– 191643, 2020.
- [9] I. Majzik, O. Semeráth, C. Hajdu, K. Marussy, Z. Szatmári, Z. Micskei, A. Vörös, A. A. Babikian, and D. Varró, "Towards system-level testing with coverage guarantees for autonomous vehicles," in 2019 ACM/IEEE 22nd International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems (MODELS), 2019, pp. 89–94.
- [10] A. Jacoff, H.-M. Huang, E. Messina, A. Virts, and A. Downs, "Comprehensive standard test suites for the performance evaluation of mobile robots," in *Proceedings of the 10th Performance Metrics for Intelligent Systems Workshop*, New York, NY, USA, 2010, p. 161–168.
- [11] Intel, "Intel® Wi-Fi 6 AX200 Module," Tech. Rep.
- [12] "iPerf The ultimate speed test tool for TCP, UDP and SCTP," https://iperf.fr.
- [13] "ASTM E2854-12, Standard Test Method for Evaluating Emergency Response Robot Capabilities: Radio Communication: Line-of-Sight Range," ASTM International, Tech. Rep.
- [14] "ASTM E2855-12, Standard Test Method for Evaluating Emergency Response Robot Capabilities: Radio Communication: Non-Line-of-Sight Range," ASTM International, Tech. Rep.
- [15] J. Güldenring, P. Gorczak, M. Patchou, C. Arendt, J. Tiemann, and C. Wietfeld, "SKATES: Interoperable Multi-Connectivity Communication Module for Reliable Search and Rescue Robot Operation," in 2020 16th International Conference on Wireless and Mobile Computing, Networking and Communications (WiMob), Oct. 2020, pp. 7–13.